

R E M A R K S

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application, with claims 1-7 and 10-14 being withdrawn. Claims 8 and 18 and amended herein and claims 9 and 15-17 cancelled.

Objections to the specification:

The Examiner maintains the objections to the specification with the indication that she has been unable to locate the preliminary amendment filed on March 1, 2002. Attached hereto is a copy of the preliminary amendment of March 1, 2005, along with a copy of the stamped postcard evidencing filing. Entry of the preliminary amendment and withdrawal of the objections are therefore respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st paragraph

The Examiner maintains the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st paragraph as not being enabled for the use of antisense oligonucleotides to the mRNA to treat GVHD. The Examiner raises two issues in support of the rejection. The instant claims have been amended to define the Fas antagonist as an anti-Fas ligand antibody or a fragment thereof which binds to Fas ligand, or a Fas extracellular domain or a derivative thereof, which the Examiner has previously indicated are enabled. Withdrawal of the rejection is therefore respectfully requested.

Priority Determination

The Examiner notes that the “effective” filing date of the application is October 31, 1997, i.e. the international filing date because no English translation of the Japanese priority applications have been provided. Applicant notes that an English translation of the priority applications, does not need to be submitted unless the Applicant is relying on the priority application to antedate a prior art reference.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2nd paragraph

Claims 8, 15 and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2nd paragraph as being unclear in the recitation of “providing activity to interact with.” Claim 8 has been amended to recite, “a Fas antagonist that interacts ~~providing activity to interact~~ with the extracellular domain of Fas ligand....” Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner maintains the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being obvious over Barr et al., combined with Palmer et al., Du et al., Braun et al., or Baker et al. The Examiner further maintains the rejection of the claims as being obvious over Lynch et al. combined with Palmer et al., Du et al., Braun et al., or Baker et al. Applicants believe that the above amendments to the claims sufficiently define the invention such that it is not suggested by or obvious over the reference teachings. Withdrawal of the rejections is therefore respectfully requested.

In view of the above remarks and amendments, Applicants believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 7, 2005

By ma
MaryAnne Armstrong, Ph.D.
Registration No.: 40,069
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road
Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant

Attachments: Preliminary amendment filed on March 1, 2002, with postcard

COPY



Papers Filed herewith on: March 1, 2002
DOCKET NO.: 1110-0307P ATTY: GUY LIMA
APPLICANT(S): MACHATA & AL.
APPLN. NO. 10/191,322 & FILED: Feb. 28, 2002

PATNO.: _____

New Application with Transmittal Letter
 Utility Design CIP PCT Provisional
 Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) CONT DIV
 Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA)
 Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE)
 Specification Consisting of: _____ pages
 Combined Declaration & Power of Attorney
 Assignment / Cover Letter
 Letter to Official Draftsman
 Drawings _____ Sheets Formal Informal Red-link
 Completion of Filing Requirements, PCT/DO/EO/905
or Formalities Letter and Executed Declaration
 Priority Document(s) / Cover Letter, No. Doc. _____
 Amendment: 2 Amendment
 Transmit to Large Entity Small Entity
 Response _____
 Information Disc'l Smit. FTO-1449(s), _____ ref(s)
 Notice of Appeal _____
 Issue Fee Transmittal _____
 FEES: _____
 Letter: _____
 Other: _____



JC186
Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the above documents
indicated in connection with the above identified case.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Due Date: _____
Handcarry: _____

BEST
AVAILABLE
COPY